### Today and tomorrow

Goodness of fit statistics

- Score statistic (Tuesday)
- Wald statistic (Tuesday)
- Deviance (Today)

Hypothesis testing (Today)

- Nested models
- Chp 6 Normal Linear Models (Today and tomorrow)
  - Focus on GLM formulation, outlier detection and colinearity.

### Chapter 5, Inference

- Goodness of fit statistics:
  - Score statistic

$$U^T\Im^{-1}U\sim\chi^2(p)$$

Wald statistic, b MLE

$$(b-\beta)^T \Im^{-1}(b-\beta) \sim \chi^2(p)$$

- Log-likelihood ratio statistic  $\Rightarrow$  Deviance
- Hypothesis tests

э

## Shooting balloons



- N trail subjects,  $i = 1, 2, \dots, N$
- Each shot n<sub>i</sub> times, trying to hit balloons.
- Count hits  $y_i$ .
- Explanatory variables:
  - Experienced / non-experienced gunman
  - Wind speed

Data:

Trail person	1	2	3	
Experienced	1	0	0	
Wind speed	2.13	0.59	1.03	
n <sub>i</sub>	6	3	5	
Уi	2	1	1	
			• • • •	◆■▶ ◆ 注▶ ◆ 注▶ 注 のへ(

## Shooting balloons, model



Where  $x_1 = 1$  for experienced gunman, otherwise  $x_1 = 0$  and  $x_2$  is wind speed.

< AP

э

#### Saturated model

The richest possible model. Each combination of (all possible known) explanatory variables have their own  $\theta_i$ .  $b = b_{max}$ 

#### Example Balloons

- N = 10 persons trying.  $Y_i \sim bin(n_i, p_i)$ ,  $p_i$  unique for each  $y_i$ 
  - Model with one factor, and this factor has N levels; one for each observation/person.

 $m = length(b_{max}) = 10$ 

### Example: Chronically medical conditions

- Women in rural area see GP less then women in urban area.
- Why? Less sick or less accessible?

Saturated model:

### Example: Chronically medical conditions

- Women in rural area see GP less then women in urban area.
- Why? Less sick or less accessible?

Data

- Group 1: No. of chronically conditions for 26 town women with  $\leq$  3 GP visits.
- Group 2: No. of chronically conditions for 23 country women with  $\leq$  3 GP visits.

Do women in the two groups with the same number of visits have the same need?

Saturated model:

## Example: Chronically medical conditions

- Women in rural area see GP less then women in urban area.
- Why? Less sick or less accessible?

Data

- Group 1: No. of chronically conditions for 26 town women with  $\leq$  3 GP visits.
- Group 2: No. of chronically conditions for 23 country women with  $\leq$  3 GP visits.

Do women in the two groups with the same number of visits have the same need?

Saturated model:

• One explanatory variable (town/country), 26 towm replicates and 23 country replicates.

#### 5.3 Taylor series approximations for log-likelihood

Taylor approximations for  $I(\beta)$  near estimate b:

$$I(\beta) = I(b) + (\beta - b)U(b) + \frac{1}{2}(\beta - b)^2U'(b)$$

Approximate U'(b) with  $E(U') = -\Im(b)$ :

$$I(\beta) = I(b) + (\beta - b)U(b) - \frac{1}{2}(\beta - b)^2$$

For a vector b

$$I(\beta) = I(b) + (\beta - b)U(b) - \frac{1}{2}(\beta - b)^T \Im(\beta - b)$$

- 31

7 / 10

# $\chi^2$ () results ch 1.4 and 1.5

Definition  $\chi^2$ 

If  $Z \sim N(0,1)$ , then  $Z^2 \sim \chi^2(1)$ . If  $Z_1, Z_2, \dots, Z_n$  are independent identical distributed  $Z_i \sim N(0,1)$ , the  $\sum_{i=1}^n Z_i^2 \sim \chi^2(n)$ 

#### Non iid

If 
$$Y \sim MVN(\mu, \Sigma)$$
, then  $(Y - \mu)^T \Sigma^{-1}(Y - \mu) \sim \chi^2(n)$ 

#### Definition non-central $\chi^2$

If  $Z_1, Z_2, \ldots Z_n$  are independent identical distributed  $Z_i \sim N(0, 1)$ , the  $\sum_{i=1}^{n} (Z_i - \mu_i)^2 \sim \chi^2(n, \nu)$  with  $\nu = \sum \mu_i^2$ .

#### Subtraction

If  $X_1^2 \sim \chi^2(m)$  and  $X_2^2 \sim \chi^2(k)$ , m > k, and  $X_1^2$  and  $X_2^2$  are independent, we have:  $X^2 = X_1^2 - X_2^2 \sim \chi^2(m-k)$ 

## Chapter 6, Linear Normal Models

Properties:

- As GLM
- Maximum Likelihood Estimate (MLE)
- Least Square Estimate
- Deviance
- Hypothesis testing

Models:

- Multiple linear regression
  - Outlier detection / influential observation
  - Collinearity / multicollinearity
- Analysis of variance (ANOVA)
  - One factor ANOVA
  - Two factor ANOVA
- Analysis of covariance
- General linear model

#### Deviance

Let  $\beta_{max}$  be the parameter vector for the *saturated* modeled, and  $\beta$  for the model of our interest. Let  $l(\beta; y)$  be the log-likelihood function. The *deviance* of the model is

$$D = 2(l(b_{max}; y) - l(b; y))$$

where b and  $b_{max}$  are (ML) estimates.

Gaussian pdf

$$f(y;\mu,\sigma^2) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}\sigma} \exp(-0.5\frac{(y-\mu)^2}{\sigma^2})$$